

# Computing of the combinatorial rank of quantum groups

Mayra Lorena Diaz Sosa (Autonomous National University of Mexico, Mexico)

malodi1982@yahoo.com.mx

In general an intersection of two biideals is not a biideal. By this reason one may not define a biideal generated by a set of elements, and the bialgebras do not admit a usual combinatorial representation by generators and relations. Heyneman-Radford theorem implies that each nonzero biideal of a pointed bialgebra has nonzero skew primitive element. Each ideal generated by skew primitive elements is a biideal, but certainly a biideal in general is not generated as an ideal by its skew primitive elements. The Heyneman-Radford theorem allows one to define a combinatorial representation over the coradical in the following form

$$\mathfrak{A} = C\langle X \mid F_1 = 0 \mid F_2 = 0 \mid \dots \mid F_\kappa = 0 \rangle,$$

where  $X$  is a set of generators,  $F_1$  is a set of skew primitive relations,  $F_i$ ,  $1 < i \leq \kappa$  is a set of relations that are skew primitive in  $C\langle X \mid F_1 = 0 \mid F_2 = 0 \mid \dots \mid F_{i-1} = 0 \rangle$ . The minimal number  $\kappa$  is called a *combinatorial rank* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We prove that the combinatorial rank of the multiparameter version of the Lusztig small quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ , or equivalently of the Frobenius-Lusztig kernel of type  $B_n$ , equals  $\lfloor \log_2(n-1) \rfloor + 2$  provided that  $q$  has a finite multiplicative order  $t > 4$ . In the case  $A_n$  the combinatorial rank equals  $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$ , see [1].

## Bibliography

- [1] V.K. Kharchenko, A. Andrade Alvarez, *On the combinatorial rank of Hopf algebras*. Contemporary Mathematics 376 (2005), 299-308.

# COMPUTING OF THE COMBINATORIAL RANK OF QUANTUM GROUPS

M.L. Díaz Sosa (with V.K. Kharchenko)

FES-C UNAM MEXICO

7 of July, 2011

Almería, Spain

# The extension theorem of MacWilliams

- ▶ **The Extension Problem** (MacWilliams, 62). *For  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , for a right linear code over a field  $R$  where  $C \subseteq R^n$ , and for a linear isometry  $f : C \rightarrow R^n$ , can  $f$  be extended to a linear isometry  $T : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ ?*

# The extension theorem of MacWilliams

- ▶ **The Extension Problem** (MacWilliams, 62). *For  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , for a right linear code over a field  $R$  where  $C \subseteq R^n$ , and for a linear isometry  $f : C \rightarrow R^n$ , can  $f$  be extended to a linear isometry  $T : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ ?*
- ▶ **Extension Theorem** (Wood, 99). *Let  $R$  be a finite Frobenius ring. Suppose  $C \subset R^n$  is a right linear code, and suppose  $f : C \rightarrow R^n$  is a right linear homomorphism which preserves Hamming weight. Then  $f$  extends to a right isometry of  $R^n$ .*

# The extension theorem of MacWilliams

- ▶ **The Extension Problem** (MacWilliams, 62). *For  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , for a right linear code over a field  $R$  where  $C \subseteq R^n$ , and for a linear isometry  $f : C \rightarrow R^n$ , can  $f$  be extended to a linear isometry  $T : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ ?*
- ▶ **Extension Theorem** (Wood, 99). *Let  $R$  be a finite Frobenius ring. Suppose  $C \subset R^n$  is a right linear code, and suppose  $f : C \rightarrow R^n$  is a right linear homomorphism which preserves Hamming weight. Then  $f$  extends to a right isometry of  $R^n$ .*
- ▶ The converse of the extension theorem holds! (Wood, 06).

# The Larson-Sweedler Theorem

- ▶ **Theorem** (Larson-Sweedler, 69). *Every finite dimensional Hopf algebra is Frobenius.*

In this way, finite quantum groups provide a material to work within the coding theory.

▶  $A = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0, \dots, f_m = 0 \rangle$ .

- ▶  $A = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0, \dots, f_m = 0 \rangle$ .
- ▶  $\Delta(A) \rightarrow A \otimes A$ ;  $\Delta(a) = \sum_{(a)} a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}$ . Biideal:  
 $\Delta(I) \subseteq A \otimes I + I \otimes A$ . If  $f \in I$ , then either  $f^{(1)} \in I$  or  $f^{(2)} \in I$ , but not both!



- ▶  $A = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0, \dots, f_m = 0 \rangle$ .
- ▶  $\Delta(A) \rightarrow A \otimes A$ ;  $\Delta(a) = \sum_{(a)} a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}$ . Biideal:  
 $\Delta(I) \subseteq A \otimes I + I \otimes A$ . If  $f \in I$ , then either  $f^{(1)} \in I$  or  $f^{(2)} \in I$ , but not both!
- ▶ Example:  $f = x_1 x_2$ ;  $\Delta(f) = f \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes x_2 + x_2 \otimes x_1 + 1 \otimes f$ ;  
Biid  $\langle x_1 x_2 \rangle$  is either  $\text{Id} \langle x_1 \rangle$  or  $\text{Id} \langle x_2 \rangle$ .

# Primitive elements and coradical filtration

- ▶ If  $\Delta(f) = a \otimes f + f \otimes b$ , then  $\text{Id}\langle f \rangle$  is a biideal!  
The combinatorial representation exists if the defining relations are skew-primitive.

It is not true that every biideal is generated by skew-primitives.

# Primitive elements and coradical filtration

- ▶ If  $\Delta(f) = a \otimes f + f \otimes b$ , then  $\text{Id}\langle f \rangle$  is a biideal!  
The combinatorial representation exists if the defining relations are skew-primitive.

It is not true that every biideal is generated by skew-primitives.

- ▶ **Theorem** (Heyneman–Radford, 74). *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be coalgebras and  $\phi : C \rightarrow D$  be a morphism of coalgebras such that the restriction  $\phi|_{C_1}$  is injective. Then  $\phi$  is injective.*
- ▶ Here  $C_0 \subset C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \dots = C$  is the coradical filtration:

$$\Delta(C_n) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^n C_i \otimes C_{n-i}.$$

- ▶ Every biideal has nontrivial intersection with  $C_1$ , while

$$\Delta(C_1) \subseteq C_0 \otimes C_1 + C_1 \otimes C_0.$$

- ▶ Every biideal has nontrivial intersection with  $C_1$ , while

$$\Delta(C_1) \subseteq C_0 \otimes C_1 + C_1 \otimes C_0.$$

- ▶ **Theorem** (Taft-Wilson, 74). *If  $C$  is pointed, then  $C_1$  is spanned by 1 and by skew-primitive elements.*

**Corollary.** *Every nonzero biideal  $I$  of a pointed bialgebra  $A$  has a nonzero skew-primitive element.*

$$A = \langle X \mid f_1^{(1)}, \dots, f_m^{(1)} \mid f_1^{(2)}, \dots, f_m^{(2)} \mid \dots \mid f_1^{(\kappa)}, \dots, f_m^{(\kappa)} \rangle.$$

- ▶ Every biideal has nontrivial intersection with  $C_1$ , while

$$\Delta(C_1) \subseteq C_0 \otimes C_1 + C_1 \otimes C_0.$$

- ▶ **Theorem** (Taft-Wilson, 74). *If  $C$  is pointed, then  $C_1$  is spanned by 1 and by skew-primitive elements.*

**Corollary.** *Every nonzero biideal  $I$  of a pointed bialgebra  $A$  has a nonzero skew-primitive element.*

$$A = \langle X \mid \mid f_1^{(1)}, \dots, f_m^{(1)} \mid f_1^{(2)}, \dots, f_m^{(2)} \mid \dots \mid f_1^{(\kappa)}, \dots, f_m^{(\kappa)} \rangle.$$

- ▶ The number  $\kappa$  is the *combinatorial rank* of  $A$ .

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset \dots \subset I_\kappa = I, \quad I_t/I_{t-1} = I/I_{t-1} \cap C_1(F/I_{t-1}).$$

- ▶ **Theorem** (V.K. Kharchenko, A. Álvarez, 05). *The combinatorial rank of the quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$  equals  $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$  provided that  $q$  has a finite multiplicative order  $t > 2$ .*

- ▶ **Theorem** (V.K. Kharchenko, A. Álvarez, 05). *The combinatorial rank of the quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$  equals  $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$  provided that  $q$  has a finite multiplicative order  $t > 2$ .*
- ▶ **Theorem** (V.K. Kharchenko, M.L. Díaz Sosa, 10). *The combinatorial rank of the quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$  equals  $\lfloor \log_2(n-1) \rfloor + 2$  provided that  $q$  has a finite multiplicative order  $t > 4$ .*



# Main steps of the proof

- ▶ Triangular decomposition:

$$u_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = u_q^-(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes H \otimes u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}).$$

We show that  $\kappa^+ = \kappa^- = \kappa$ .

# Main steps of the proof

- ▶ Triangular decomposition:

$$u_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = u_q^-(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes H \otimes u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}).$$

We show that  $\kappa^+ = \kappa^- = \kappa$ .

- ▶ By definition  $u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = G\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / \mathbf{\Lambda}$ , where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is the biggest biideal with trivial intersection with the space spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

# Main steps of the proof

- ▶ Triangular decomposition:

$$u_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = u_q^-(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes H \otimes u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}).$$

We show that  $\kappa^+ = \kappa^- = \kappa$ .

- ▶ By definition  $u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = G\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / \mathbf{\Lambda}$ , where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is the biggest biideal with trivial intersection with the space spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- ▶  $\Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i$ ;  $\Delta(g_i) = g_i \otimes g_i$ ;  $x_i g_j = p_{ij} g_j x_i$ , where  $p_{ij}$  are arbitrary parameters satisfying:

$$p_{nn} = q, \quad p_{ii} = q^2, \quad p_{i+1i} p_{i+1i} = q^{-2}, \quad 1 \leq i < n;$$

$$p_{ij} p_{ji} = 1, \quad j > i + 1.$$

# Main steps of the proof

►  $u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = G\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid [u_{km}]^{t_u}, k \leq m \leq 2n - k \rangle,$

$$[u_{km}] = [\dots [ [ [ [ \dots [x_k, x_{k+1}] \cdots x_n, ] x_n, ] x_{n-1}, ] x_{n-2}, ] \cdots x_{2n-m+1}],$$

here  $[u, v] = uv - p(u, v)vu$ , while the bimultiplicative map  $p(u, v)$  is so that  $p(x_i, x_j) = p_{ij}$ ; and  $t_u = t$  if  $m = n$  or  $t$  is odd and  $t_u = t/2$  otherwise.

# Main steps of the proof

- ▶  $u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = G\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid [u_{km}]^{t_u}, k \leq m \leq 2n - k \rangle,$

$$[u_{km}] = [\dots [ [ [ [ \dots [x_k, x_{k+1}] \dots x_n, ] x_n, ] x_{n-1}, ] x_{n-2}, ] \dots x_{2n-m+1}],$$

here  $[u, v] = uv - p(u, v)vu$ , while the bimultiplicative map  $p(u, v)$  is so that  $p(x_i, x_j) = p_{ij}$ ; and  $t_u = t$  if  $m = n$  or  $t$  is odd and  $t_u = t/2$  otherwise.

- ▶ The quantum Serre relations  $S_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$  are skew-primitive, hence instead of the homomorphism  $G\langle X \rangle \rightarrow u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$  we may consider  $U_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \rightarrow u_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$  and work with elements of  $U_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ .

## Proposition.

- ▶ The elements  $T_u = [u]^{t_u}$ ,  $u = u_{km}$  generate an algebra  $C$  of quantum polynomials,  $T_u T_v = q_{uv} T_v T_u$ ,  $q_{uv} q_{vu} = 1$ .
- ▶  $GC$  is a Hopf subalgebra.
- ▶  $U_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$  is a free finitely generated module over  $GC$  of rank  $t^{n^2}$  if  $t$  is odd, and  $t^n (t/2)^{n^2-n}$  if  $t$  is even.

## Lemma.

- ▶ If  $t$  is odd or  $m \neq n$ , then  $[u_{km}]^t \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i$  if and only if,  $m - k < 2^i - 1 + \varepsilon_m^n$ . Here  $\varepsilon_m^n = 0$  if  $m \leq n$ , and  $\varepsilon_m^n = 1$  otherwise.
- ▶ If  $t$  is even and  $m = n$ , then  $m - k < 2^{i-1}$  implies  $[u_{km}]^{t/2} \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i$ , while  $m - k \geq 2^{i-1}$  implies  $[u_{km}]^{t/2} \notin \mathbf{\Lambda}_i$ .

- ▶ Find the combinatorial rank of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a simple Lie algebra of type  $C$ ,  $D$ ,  $E$ ,  $F$  or  $G$ .



# Problems

- ▶ Find the combinatorial rank of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a simple Lie algebra of type  $C$ ,  $D$ ,  $E$ ,  $F$  or  $G$ .
- ▶ It is likely that the proposition is still valid.

- ▶ Find the combinatorial rank of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a simple Lie algebra of type  $C$ ,  $D$ ,  $E$ ,  $F$  or  $G$ .
- ▶ It is likely that the proposition is still valid.
- ▶ In order to prove the lemma we have used an explicit formula for the coproduct:

$$\Delta([u_{km}]) = [u_{km}] \otimes 1 + g_{km} \otimes [u_{km}] + \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \alpha_i g_{ki} [u_{1+i m}] \otimes [u_{ki}],$$

which is not proven for the other classes yet.

# Main results

$$A_n : \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$$

$$B_n : \lfloor \log_2(n - 1) \rfloor + 2$$

Contact: [mlds@inteligencianet.com](mailto:mlds@inteligencianet.com)

Thank you!