

Clifford theory for semisimple Hopf algebras

Sebastian Burciu (Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian
Academy, Romania)
smburciu@syr.edu

The classical Clifford correspondence for normal subgroups is considered in the setting of semisimple Hopf algebras. We prove that this correspondence still holds if the extension determined by the normal Hopf subalgebra is cocentral. Other particular situations where Clifford theory also works will be discussed. This talk is based on the paper "Clifford theory for cocentral extensions" *Israel J. Math.*, 181, 2011, (1), 111-123 and some work in progress of the author.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Clifford theory for semisimple Hopf algebras

Hopf algebras and Tensor categories,
University of Almeria (Spain), July 4-8, 2011

Sebastian Burciu

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of
Romanian Academy

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Historical background

Historical background

- A. H. Clifford initiated the theory for groups [[1], '37].

Historical background

- A. H. Clifford initiated the theory for groups [[1], '37].
- Blattner worked a similar theory for Lie algebras ([5], '69)

Historical background

- A. H. Clifford initiated the theory for groups [[1], '37].
- Blattner worked a similar theory for Lie algebras ([5], '69)
- Dade generalized Clifford's results to graded rings ([3], '80)

Historical background

- A. H. Clifford initiated the theory for groups [[1], '37].
- Blattner worked a similar theory for Lie algebras ([5], '69)
- Dade generalized Clifford's results to graded rings ([3], '80)
- Rieffel extended the theory for an arbitrary **normal** extension of semisimple artin rings. ([8], '79)

Historical background

- A. H. Clifford initiated the theory for groups ([1], '37).
- Blattner worked a similar theory for Lie algebras ([5], '69)
- Dade generalized Clifford's results to graded rings ([3], '80)
- Rieffel extended the theory for an arbitrary **normal** extension of semisimple artin rings. ([8], '79)
- Schneider unified the existent theories in a more general setting, that of Hopf Galois extensions ([2], '90).

Historical background

- A. H. Clifford initiated the theory for groups ([1], '37).
- Blattner worked a similar theory for Lie algebras ([5], '69)
- Dade generalized Clifford's results to graded rings ([3], '80)
- Rieffel extended the theory for an arbitrary **normal** extension of semisimple artin rings. ([8], '79)
- Schneider unified the existent theories in a more general setting, that of Hopf Galois extensions ([2], '90).
- Witherspoon used Rieffel's work in the setting of finite dimensional Hopf algebras. ([4], '99 and [6], '02).

Historical background

- A. H. Clifford initiated the theory for groups ([1], '37).
- Blattner worked a similar theory for Lie algebras ([5], '69)
- Dade generalized Clifford's results to graded rings ([3], '80)
- Rieffel extended the theory for an arbitrary **normal** extension of semisimple artin rings. ([8], '79)
- Schneider unified the existent theories in a more general setting, that of Hopf Galois extensions ([2], '90).
- Witherspoon used Rieffel's work in the setting of finite dimensional Hopf algebras. ([4], '99 and [6], '02).

Plan of the talk

- 1 Motivation of the talk
- 2 Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras
- 3 New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras
- 4 Applications
 - Extensions by kF .
 - The Drinfeld double $D(A)$
- 5 A counterexample

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e. $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Clifford theory for normal Hopf subalgebras

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra A . For a given irreducible B -module M ,

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e. $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Clifford theory for normal Hopf subalgebras

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra A . For a given irreducible B -module M , find a Hopf subalgebra Z_M of A with $B \subset Z_M \subset A$ such that the induction map

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e. $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Clifford theory for normal Hopf subalgebras

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra A . For a given irreducible B -module M , find a Hopf subalgebra Z_M of A with $B \subset Z_M \subset A$ such that the induction map $\text{ind} : \text{Irr}(Z_M|M) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(A|M)$ given by

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e. $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Clifford theory for normal Hopf subalgebras

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra A . For a given irreducible B -module M , find a Hopf subalgebra Z_M of A with $B \subset Z_M \subset A$ such that the induction map $\text{ind} : \text{Irr}(Z_M|M) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(A|M)$ given by

$$V \mapsto A \otimes_{Z_M} V$$

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e. $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Clifford theory for normal Hopf subalgebras

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra A . For a given irreducible B -module M , find a Hopf subalgebra Z_M of A with $B \subset Z_M \subset A$ such that the induction map $\text{ind} : \text{Irr}(Z_M|M) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(A|M)$ given by $V \mapsto A \otimes_{Z_M} V$ is a bijection.

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e. $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Clifford theory for normal Hopf subalgebras

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra A . For a given irreducible B -module M , find a Hopf subalgebra Z_M of A with $B \subset Z_M \subset A$ such that the induction map $\text{ind} : \text{Irr}(Z_M|M) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(A|M)$ given by $V \mapsto A \otimes_{Z_M} V$ is a bijection.

When such a Hopf subalgebra Z_M exists?

Motivation

Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let B be a Hopf subalgebra of A .

B is called a *normal* Hopf subalgebra if it is closed under the adjoint action of A on itself, i.e. $a_1 B S(a_2) \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Clifford theory for normal Hopf subalgebras

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra A . For a given irreducible B -module M , find a Hopf subalgebra Z_M of A with $B \subset Z_M \subset A$ such that the induction map $\text{ind} : \text{Irr}(Z_M|M) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(A|M)$ given by $V \mapsto A \otimes_{Z_M} V$ is a bijection.

When such a Hopf subalgebra Z_M exists?

Based on Isr. J. Math, 2011 and some work in progress.

Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions

Definition of normal subrings:

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of semisimple rings. The extension is called **normal** if $A(I \cap B) = (I \cap B)A$ for any maximal ideal I of A .

Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions

Definition of normal subrings:

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of semisimple rings. The extension is called **normal** if $A(I \cap B) = (I \cap B)A$ for any maximal ideal I of A .

If $B = kH \subset A = kG$ for two finite groups $H \subset G$ then the extension $B \subset A$ is normal if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G .

Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions

Definition of normal subrings:

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of semisimple rings. The extension is called **normal** if $A(I \cap B) = (I \cap B)A$ for any maximal ideal I of A .

If $B = kH \subset A = kG$ for two finite groups $H \subset G$ then the extension $B \subset A$ is normal if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G .

Normal extensions of Hopf algebras

More generally the same thing is true for semisimple Hopf algebras.

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple artin rings,

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple artin rings, and W be an irreducible B -module.

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple artin rings, and W be an irreducible B -module. Then a stability subring for W is a semisimple artin subring T , with $B \subset T \subset A$ and

- (1) B is a normal subring of T ,

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple artin rings, and W be an irreducible B -module. Then a stability subring for W is a semisimple artin subring T , with $B \subset T \subset A$ and

- (1) B is a normal subring of T ,
- (2) J is T -invariant, i.e. $JT = TJ$

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple artin rings, and W be an irreducible B -module. Then a stability subring for W is a semisimple artin subring T , with $B \subset T \subset A$ and

- (1) B is a normal subring of T ,
- (2) J is T -invariant, i.e. $JT = TJ$
- (3) $T + AJ + JA = A$,

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple artin rings, and W be an irreducible B -module. Then a stability subring for W is a semisimple artin subring T , with $B \subset T \subset A$ and

- (1) B is a normal subring of T ,
- (2) J is T -invariant, i.e. $JT = TJ$
- (3) $T + AJ + JA = A$, where $J := \text{Ann}_B(W)$.

Rieffel's definition for the stabilizer

Stabilizer of a simple module W

Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple artin rings, and W be an irreducible B -module. Then a stability subring for W is a semisimple artin subring T , with $B \subset T \subset A$ and

- (1) B is a normal subring of T ,
- (2) J is T -invariant, i.e. $JT = TJ$
- (3) $T + AJ + JA = A$, where $J := \text{Ann}_B(W)$.

The stabilizer always exists but is not unique; there might be more than one stabilizers for a given module W .

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Clifford's correspondence holds in Rieffel's sense

Clifford's correspondence holds in Rieffel's sense

THEOREM (Rieffel, 1979.)

Let B be a normal subring of the semi-simple artin ring A , let W be an irreducible B -module, and let T be a stability subring for W .

Clifford's correspondence holds in Rieffel's sense

THEOREM (Rieffel, 1979.)

Let B be a normal subring of the semi-simple artin ring A , let W be an irreducible B -module, and let T be a stability subring for W .

Then the process of inducing modules from T to A gives a bijection between equivalence classes of simple T -modules having W as **(the)** B -constituent and equivalence classes of simple A -modules having W as **(a)** B -constituent.

Clifford's correspondence holds in Rieffel's sense

THEOREM (Rieffel, 1979.)

Let B be a normal subring of the semi-simple artin ring A , let W be an irreducible B -module, and let T be a stability subring for W .

Then the process of inducing modules from T to A gives a bijection between equivalence classes of simple T -modules having W as **(the)** B -constituent and equivalence classes of simple A -modules having W as **(a)** B -constituent.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple rings.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple rings.

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible A -representations $\text{Irr}(A)$.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple rings.

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible A -representations $\text{Irr}(A)$.

Write $M \sim^A N$ if there is an irreducible $W \in B - \text{mod}$, common constituent both for $M \downarrow_B^A$ and $N \downarrow_B^A$.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple rings.

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible A -representations $\text{Irr}(A)$.

Write $M \sim^A N$ if there is an irreducible $W \in B\text{-mod}$, common constituent both for $M \downarrow_B^A$ and $N \downarrow_B^A$.
In general \sim^A is not an equivalence relation.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple rings.

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible A -representations $\text{Irr}(A)$.

Write $M \sim^A N$ if there is an irreducible $W \in B\text{-mod}$, common constituent both for $M \downarrow_B^A$ and $N \downarrow_B^A$.
In general \sim^A is not an equivalence relation.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$, II

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible B -representations $\text{Irr}(B)$.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$, II

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible B -representations $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Write $W \sim_B W'$ if there is an irreducible $M \in A - \text{mod}$, common constituent both for $W \uparrow_B^A$ and $W' \uparrow_B^A$.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$, II

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible B -representations $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Write $W \sim_B W'$ if there is an irreducible $M \in A - \text{mod}$, common constituent both for $W \uparrow_B^A$ and $W' \uparrow_B^A$. In general \sim_B is not an equivalence relation.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$, II

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible B -representations $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Write $W \sim_B W'$ if there is an irreducible $M \in A - \text{mod}$, common constituent both for $W \uparrow_B^A$ and $W' \uparrow_B^A$. In general \sim_B is not an equivalence relation.

Theorem (Rieffel, [8])

An extension $B \subset A$ of semisimple artin ring is a normal extension if and only if

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$, II

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible B -representations $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Write $W \sim_B W'$ if there is an irreducible $M \in A - \text{mod}$, common constituent both for $W \uparrow_B^A$ and $W' \uparrow_B^A$. In general \sim_B is not an equivalence relation.

Theorem (Rieffel, [8])

An extension $B \subset A$ of semisimple artin ring is a normal extension if and only if \sim^A is an equivalence relation and $\text{Irr}(M \downarrow_B^A)$ is an entire equivalence class for all $M \in \text{Irr}(A)$.

More on Rieffel's work on semisimple normal extensions $B \subset A$, II

Rieffel's equivalence relation on the set of irreducible B -representations $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Write $W \sim_B W'$ if there is an irreducible $M \in A - \text{mod}$, common constituent both for $W \uparrow_B^A$ and $W' \uparrow_B^A$. In general \sim_B is not an equivalence relation.

Theorem (Rieffel, [8])

An extension $B \subset A$ of semisimple artin ring is a normal extension if and only if \sim^A is an equivalence relation and $\text{Irr}(M \downarrow_B^A)$ is an entire equivalence class for all $M \in \text{Irr}(A)$.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Characterization of Rieffel's notion of normal extensions

Characterization of Rieffel's notion of normal extensions

Theorem (B, Kadison, Kuelshammer, [9])

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple finite dimensional algebras.

Characterization of Rieffel's notion of normal extensions

Theorem (B, Kadison, Kuelshammer, [9])

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple finite dimensional algebras. The relation \sim^A is an equivalence relation if and only if $B \subset A$ is a depth three extension.

Characterization of Rieffel's notion of normal extensions

Theorem (B, Kadison, Kuelshammer, [9])

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple finite dimensional algebras. The relation \sim^A is an equivalence relation if and only if $B \subset A$ is a depth three extension.

Theorem (B, Kadison, Kuelshammer, [9])

An extension $B \subset A$ of finite dimensional semisimple algebras is a normal extension if and only if it is a depth two extension.

Characterization of Rieffel's notion of normal extensions

Theorem (B, Kadison, Kuelshammer, [9])

Let $B \subset A$ be an extension of semisimple finite dimensional algebras. The relation \sim^A is an equivalence relation if and only if $B \subset A$ is a depth three extension.

Theorem (B, Kadison, Kuelshammer, [9])

An extension $B \subset A$ of finite dimensional semisimple algebras is a normal extension if and only if it is a depth two extension.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Rieffel's equivalence relations in our settings

Rieffel's equivalence relations in our settings

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of normal ss. Hopf algebras.

Rieffel's equivalence relations in our settings

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of normal ss. Hopf algebras.

Some notations

Rieffel's equivalence relations in our settings

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of normal ss. Hopf algebras.

Some notations

Let \mathcal{B}_i be an equivalence class under Rieffel's equivalence relation for $B \subset A$ on $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Rieffel's equivalence relations in our settings

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of normal ss. Hopf algebras.

Some notations

Let \mathcal{B}_i be an equivalence class under Rieffel's equivalence relation for $B \subset A$ on $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Let \mathcal{A}_i be the corresponding equivalence class on $\text{Irr}(A)$.

Rieffel's equivalence relations in our settings

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of normal ss. Hopf algebras.

Some notations

Let \mathcal{B}_i be an equivalence class under Rieffel's equivalence relation for $B \subset A$ on $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Let \mathcal{A}_i be the corresponding equivalence class on $\text{Irr}(A)$.

Let

$$b_i = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_i} \beta(1)\beta.$$

Rieffel's equivalence relations in our settings

Let $B \subset A$ an extension of normal ss. Hopf algebras.

Some notations

Let \mathcal{B}_i be an equivalence class under Rieffel's equivalence relation for $B \subset A$ on $\text{Irr}(B)$.

Let \mathcal{A}_i be the corresponding equivalence class on $\text{Irr}(A)$.

Let

$$b_i = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_i} \beta(1)\beta.$$

Let

$$a_i = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{A}_i} \chi(1)\chi.$$

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Conjugate modules

- Let M be an irreducible B -module with character $\alpha \in C(B)$.

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Conjugate modules

- Let M be an irreducible B -module with character $\alpha \in C(B)$.
- If W is an A^* -module then $W \otimes M$ becomes a B -module with

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Conjugate modules

- Let M be an irreducible B -module with character $\alpha \in C(B)$.
- If W is an A^* -module then $W \otimes M$ becomes a B -module with

$$b(w \otimes m) = w_0 \otimes (S(w_1)bw_2)m \quad (1)$$

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Conjugate modules

- Let M be an irreducible B -module with character $\alpha \in C(B)$.
- If W is an A^* -module then $W \otimes M$ becomes a B -module with

$$b(w \otimes m) = w_0 \otimes (S(w_1)bw_2)m \quad (1)$$

- Here we used that any left A^* -module W is a right A -comodule via $\rho(w) = w_0 \otimes w_1$.

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Conjugate modules

- Let M be an irreducible B -module with character $\alpha \in C(B)$.
- If W is an A^* -module then $W \otimes M$ becomes a B -module with

$$b(w \otimes m) = w_0 \otimes (S(w_1)bw_2)m \quad (1)$$

- Here we used that any left A^* -module W is a right A -comodule via $\rho(w) = w_0 \otimes w_1$.
- For any irreducible character $d \in \text{Irr}(A^*)$ associated to a simple A -comodule W define ${}^dM := W \otimes M$ as a B -module.

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Conjugate modules

- Let M be an irreducible B -module with character $\alpha \in C(B)$.
- If W is an A^* -module then $W \otimes M$ becomes a B -module with

$$b(w \otimes m) = w_0 \otimes (S(w_1)bw_2)m \quad (1)$$

- Here we used that any left A^* -module W is a right A -comodule via $\rho(w) = w_0 \otimes w_1$.
- For any irreducible character $d \in \text{Irr}(A^*)$ associated to a simple A -comodule W define ${}^dM := W \otimes M$ as a B -module.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Conjugate modules and stabilizers

Conjugate modules and stabilizers

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Theorem (B. '10) Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras and M be an irreducible B -module. Then $M \uparrow_B^A \downarrow_B^A$ and $\bigoplus_{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*)} d M$ have the same irreducible B -constituents.

Conjugate modules and stabilizers

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Theorem (B. '10) Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras and M be an irreducible B -module. Then $M \uparrow_B^A \downarrow_B^A$ and $\bigoplus_{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*)} d M$ have the same irreducible B -constituents.

For $A = kG$ one has $\text{Irr}(kG^*) = G$.

Conjugate modules and stabilizers

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Theorem (B. '10) Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras and M be an irreducible B -module. Then $M \uparrow_B^A \downarrow_B^A$ and $\bigoplus_{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*)} {}^d M$ have the same irreducible B -constituents.

For $A = kG$ one has $\text{Irr}(kG^*) = G$.

If $A = kG$ and $B = kH$ for a normal subgroup H then $d = g \in G$ and ${}^d M$ coincides with the conjugate module ${}^g M$ introduced by Clifford in [1].

Conjugate modules and stabilizers

Generalization of the first theorem of Clifford

Theorem (B. '10) Let $B \subset A$ be a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras and M be an irreducible B -module. Then $M \uparrow_B^A$ and $\bigoplus_{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*)} {}^d M$ have the same irreducible B -constituents.

For $A = kG$ one has $\text{Irr}(kG^*) = G$.

If $A = kG$ and $B = kH$ for a normal subgroup H then $d = g \in G$ and ${}^d M$ coincides with the conjugate module ${}^g M$ introduced by Clifford in [1].

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

- If α is the char. of M then the char. ${}^d\alpha$ of dM is given by

$${}^d\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1 x d_2) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [10]).

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

- If α is the char. of M then the char. ${}^d\alpha$ of dM is given by

$${}^d\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1 x d_2) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [10]).

Proposition (B, '11)

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

- If α is the char. of M then the char. ${}^d\alpha$ of dM is given by

$${}^d\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1 x d_2) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [10]).

Proposition (B, '11)

The set $\{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*) \mid {}^d\alpha = \epsilon(d)\alpha\}$ is closed under multiplication and “ $*$ ”.

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

- If α is the char. of M then the char. ${}^d\alpha$ of dM is given by

$${}^d\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1 x d_2) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [10]).

Proposition (B, '11)

The set $\{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*) \mid {}^d\alpha = \epsilon(d)\alpha\}$ is closed under multiplication and “ $*$ ”. Thus it generates a Hopf subalgebra Z_α of A that contains B .

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

- If α is the char. of M then the char. ${}^d\alpha$ of dM is given by

$${}^d\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1 x d_2) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [10]).

Proposition (B, '11)

The set $\{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*) \mid {}^d\alpha = \epsilon(d)\alpha\}$ is closed under multiplication and “ $*$ ”. Thus it generates a Hopf subalgebra Z_α of A that contains B .

- Z_α is called the stabilizer of α in A .

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

- If α is the char. of M then the char. ${}^d\alpha$ of dM is given by

$${}^d\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1 x d_2) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [10]).

Proposition (B, '11)

The set $\{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*) \mid {}^d\alpha = \epsilon(d)\alpha\}$ is closed under multiplication and “ $*$ ”. Thus it generates a Hopf subalgebra Z_α of A that contains B .

- Z_α is called the stabilizer of α in A .
- If $A = kG$ and $B = kN$ for a normal subgroup N then the stabilizer Z_α coincides with the stabilizer Z_M of M introduced by Clifford in [1].

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

- If α is the char. of M then the char. ${}^d\alpha$ of dM is given by

$${}^d\alpha(x) = \alpha(Sd_1 x d_2) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B$ (see Proposition 5.3 of [10]).

Proposition (B, '11)

The set $\{d \in \text{Irr}(A^*) \mid {}^d\alpha = \epsilon(d)\alpha\}$ is closed under multiplication and “ $*$ ”. Thus it generates a Hopf subalgebra Z_α of A that contains B .

- Z_α is called the stabilizer of α in A .
- If $A = kG$ and $B = kN$ for a normal subgroup N then the stabilizer Z_α coincides with the stabilizer Z_M of M introduced by Clifford in [1].

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case of the stabilizer Z_α

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case of the stabilizer Z_α

On the dimension of the stabilizer (B, '11)

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case of the stabilizer Z_α

On the dimension of the stabilizer (B, '11)

Let $B \subset A$ a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras.
With the above notations:

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case of the stabilizer Z_α

On the dimension of the stabilizer (B, '11)

Let $B \subset A$ a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras.
With the above notations:

① $|Z_\alpha| \leq \frac{|A|_{\alpha(1)}^2}{b_i(1)}$ where B_i is the equivalence class of α .

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case of the stabilizer Z_α

On the dimension of the stabilizer (B, '11)

Let $B \subset A$ a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras.

With the above notations:

- 1 $|Z_\alpha| \leq \frac{|A|_{\alpha(1)}^2}{b_i(1)}$ where B_i is the equivalence class of α .
- 2 Equality holds if and only if Z_α is a stabilizer in the sense of Rieffel, see [4].

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case of the stabilizer Z_α

On the dimension of the stabilizer (B, '11)

Let $B \subset A$ a normal extension of semisimple Hopf algebras.

With the above notations:

- 1 $|Z_\alpha| \leq \frac{|A|_{\alpha(1)}^2}{b_i(1)}$ where B_i is the equivalence class of α .
- 2 Equality holds if and only if Z_α is a stabilizer in the sense of Rieffel, see [4].

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

Main result

Theorem (B, '11)

Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if one has equality in the previous inequality.

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

Main result

Theorem (B, '11)

Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if one has equality in the previous inequality.

Corrolary (B, 11'.)

Clifford theory works for the stabilizer Z_α if and only if this is a stabilizer in Rieffel's sense.

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

Main result

Theorem (B, '11)

Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if one has equality in the previous inequality.

Corrolary (B, 11'.)

Clifford theory works for the stabilizer Z_α if and only if this is a stabilizer in Rieffel's sense.

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

Main result

Theorem (B, '11)

Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if one has equality in the previous inequality.

Corrolary (B, 11'.)

Clifford theory works for the stabilizer Z_α if and only if this is a stabilizer in Rieffel's sense.

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

Back to the group case

If $A = kG$ and $B = kN$ for a normal subgroup N then the above inequality is equality.

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

Back to the group case

If $A = kG$ and $B = kN$ for a normal subgroup N then the above inequality is equality. It states that the number of conjugate modules of α is the index of the stabilizer of α in G .

Clifford correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The case when the stabilizer is a Hopf subalgebra

Back to the group case

If $A = kG$ and $B = kN$ for a normal subgroup N then the above inequality is equality. It states that the number of conjugate modules of α is the index of the stabilizer of α in G . (Orbit formula)

Outline

- 1 Motivation of the talk
- 2 Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras
- 3 New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras
- 4 Applications**
 - Extensions by kF .
 - The Drinfeld double $D(A)$
- 5 A counterexample

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras
New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Extensions by kF .

The Drinfeld double $D(A)$

Applying Schneider's work to our settings

The case $H = kF$.

Applying Schneider's work to our settings

The case $H = kF$.

Let $H := A//B$ and suppose that $H = kF$ for a finite group F .

Applying Schneider's work to our settings

The case $H = kF$.

Let $H := A//B$ and suppose that $H = kF$ for a finite group F .
Let $A_f := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes kf)$, for all $f \in F$.

Schneider's stabilizer when $H = kF$

Applying Schneider's work to our settings

The case $H = kF$.

Let $H := A//B$ and suppose that $H = kF$ for a finite group F .
Let $A_f := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes kf)$, for all $f \in F$.

Schneider's stabilizer when $H = kF$

The stabilizer Z of M is the set of all $f \in F$ such that
 $A_f \otimes_B M \cong M$ as B -modules. It is a subgroup of F .

Applying Schneider's work to our settings

The case $H = kF$.

Let $H := A//B$ and suppose that $H = kF$ for a finite group F .
Let $A_f := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes kf)$, for all $f \in F$.

Schneider's stabilizer when $H = kF$

The stabilizer Z of M is the set of all $f \in F$ such that
 $A_f \otimes_B M \cong M$ as B -modules. It is a subgroup of F .

Let $S := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes Z)$. Then S is a subalgebra of A

Applying Schneider's work to our settings

The case $H = kF$.

Let $H := A//B$ and suppose that $H = kF$ for a finite group F .
Let $A_f := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes kf)$, for all $f \in F$.

Schneider's stabilizer when $H = kF$

The stabilizer Z of M is the set of all $f \in F$ such that
 $A_f \otimes_B M \cong M$ as B -modules. It is a subgroup of F .

Let $S := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes Z)$. Then S is a subalgebra of A
 S is not a Hopf subalgebra in general.

Applying Schneider's work to our settings

The case $H = kF$.

Let $H := A//B$ and suppose that $H = kF$ for a finite group F .
Let $A_f := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes kf)$, for all $f \in F$.

Schneider's stabilizer when $H = kF$

The stabilizer Z of M is the set of all $f \in F$ such that
 $A_f \otimes_B M \cong M$ as B -modules. It is a subgroup of F .

Let $S := \rho^{-1}(A \otimes Z)$. Then S is a subalgebra of A
 S is not a Hopf subalgebra in general.

Clifford correspondence holds for S as a stabilizer of M .

Extensions by kF .

Theorem (B,'11).

Suppose that $H = kF$ for some finite group F .

Extensions by kF .

Theorem (B,'11).

Suppose that $H = kF$ for some finite group F . Let M be an irreducible representation of B with character α and

Extensions by kF .

Theorem (B,'11).

Suppose that $H = kF$ for some finite group F . Let M be an irreducible representation of B with character α and let $Z \leq F$ be the stabilizer of M .

Extensions by kF .

Theorem (B,'11).

Suppose that $H = kF$ for some finite group F . Let M be an irreducible representation of B with character α and let $Z \leq F$ be the stabilizer of M .

1) Then $Z_\alpha \subset S$.

Extensions by kF .

Theorem (B,'11).

Suppose that $H = kF$ for some finite group F . Let M be an irreducible representation of B with character α and let $Z \leq F$ be the stabilizer of M .

1) Then $Z_\alpha \subset S$.

2) Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if $Z_\alpha = S$.

Extensions by kF .

Theorem (B,'11).

Suppose that $H = kF$ for some finite group F . Let M be an irreducible representation of B with character α and let $Z \leq F$ be the stabilizer of M .

- 1) Then $Z_\alpha \subset S$.
- 2) Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if $Z_\alpha = S$.
- 3) Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if S is a Hopf subalgebra of A .

Extensions by kF .

Theorem (B,'11).

Suppose that $H = kF$ for some finite group F . Let M be an irreducible representation of B with character α and let $Z \leq F$ be the stabilizer of M .

- 1) Then $Z_\alpha \subset S$.
- 2) Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if $Z_\alpha = S$.
- 3) Clifford correspondence holds for Z_α if and only if S is a Hopf subalgebra of A .

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Extensions by kF .

The Drinfeld double $D(A)$

A Corollary

A Corollary

Corollary (B, '11)

Suppose that the extension

$$k \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow k$$

A Corollary

Corollary (B, '11)

Suppose that the extension

$$k \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow k$$

is cocentral.

A Corollary

Corollary (B, '11)

Suppose that the extension

$$k \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow k$$

is cocentral. Then the Clifford correspondence holds for Z_M for any irreducible B -module M .

Outline

- 1 Motivation of the talk
- 2 Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras
- 3 New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras
- 4 Applications**
 - Extensions by kF .
 - **The Drinfeld double $D(A)$**
- 5 A counterexample

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras
New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Extensions by kF .

The Drinfeld double $D(A)$

Application of Clifford's correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The Drinfeld double case

Application of Clifford's correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The Drinfeld double case

Definition of $K(A)$

Let $K(A) = kG^*$ be the largest central Hopf subalgebra of A .
Then G is the universal grading group of $\text{Rep}(A)$.

Application of Clifford's correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The Drinfeld double case

Definition of $K(A)$

Let $K(A) = kG^*$ be the largest central Hopf subalgebra of A .
Then G is the universal grading group of $\text{Rep}(A)$.

Theorem (B, 11'.)

$K(A)$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $D(A)$.

Application of Clifford's correspondence for normal Hopf algebras

The Drinfeld double case

Definition of $K(A)$

Let $K(A) = kG^*$ be the largest central Hopf subalgebra of A .
Then G is the universal grading group of $\text{Rep}(A)$.

Theorem (B, 11'.)

$K(A)$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $D(A)$.

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension
 $K(A) \subset D(A)$.

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form $A \otimes_{L(g)} V$ where $g \in G$,

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form $A \otimes_{L(g)} V$ where $g \in G$, $L(g)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of A containing $K(A)$ and

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form $A \otimes_{L(g)} V$ where $g \in G$, $L(g)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of A containing $K(A)$ and V is an irreducible $L(g)$ -module.

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form $A \otimes_{L(g)} V$ where $g \in G$, $L(g)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of A containing $K(A)$ and V is an irreducible $L(g)$ -module.

Drinfeld double $D(G)$ of a group

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form $A \otimes_{L(g)} V$ where $g \in G$, $L(g)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of A containing $K(A)$ and V is an irreducible $L(g)$ -module.

Drinfeld double $D(G)$ of a group

If $A = kG^*$ then

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form $A \otimes_{L(g)} V$ where $g \in G$, $L(g)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of A containing $K(A)$ and V is an irreducible $L(g)$ -module.

Drinfeld double $D(G)$ of a group

If $A = kG^*$ then $K(A) = A$ and

Application to $D(A)$

Theorem (B, 11'.)

Clifford correspondence holds for the extension $K(A) \subset D(A)$. This gives that any irreducible $D(A)$ -module has the following form $A \otimes_{L(g)} V$ where $g \in G$, $L(g)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of A containing $K(A)$ and V is an irreducible $L(g)$ -module.

Drinfeld double $D(G)$ of a group

If $A = kG^*$ then $K(A) = A$ and the previous theorem gives the well known description of the irreducible modules over $D(G)$ in terms of the centralizers $C_G(g)$.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

A Counterexample

A Counterexample

Exact factorization of groups

Let $\Sigma = FG$ be an an exact factorization of finite groups.

A Counterexample

Exact factorization of groups

Let $\Sigma = FG$ be an exact factorization of finite groups. This gives a right action $\triangleleft : G \times F \rightarrow G$ of F on the set G , and

A Counterexample

Exact factorization of groups

Let $\Sigma = FG$ be an exact factorization of finite groups. This gives a right action $\triangleleft : G \times F \rightarrow G$ of F on the set G , and a left action $\triangleright : G \times F \rightarrow F$ of G on the set F subject to the following two conditions:

A Counterexample

Exact factorization of groups

Let $\Sigma = FG$ be an exact factorization of finite groups. This gives a right action $\triangleleft : G \times F \rightarrow G$ of F on the set G , and a left action $\triangleright : G \times F \rightarrow F$ of G on the set F subject to the following two conditions:

$$s \triangleright xy = (s \triangleright x)((s \triangleleft x) \triangleright y) \quad st \triangleleft x = (s \triangleleft (t \triangleright x))(t \triangleleft x)$$

A Counterexample

Exact factorization of groups

Let $\Sigma = FG$ be an exact factorization of finite groups. This gives a right action $\triangleleft : G \times F \rightarrow G$ of F on the set G , and a left action $\triangleright : G \times F \rightarrow F$ of G on the set F subject to the following two conditions:

$$s \triangleright xy = (s \triangleright x)((s \triangleleft x) \triangleright y) \quad st \triangleleft x = (s \triangleleft (t \triangleright x))(t \triangleleft x)$$

The actions \triangleright and \triangleleft are determined by the relations

$gx = (g \triangleright x)(g \triangleleft x)$ for all $x \in F, g \in G$. Note that $1 \triangleright x = x$ and $s \triangleleft 1 = s$.

A Counterexample

Exact factorization of groups

Let $\Sigma = FG$ be an exact factorization of finite groups. This gives a right action $\triangleleft : G \times F \rightarrow G$ of F on the set G , and a left action $\triangleright : G \times F \rightarrow F$ of G on the set F subject to the following two conditions:

$$s \triangleright xy = (s \triangleright x)((s \triangleleft x) \triangleright y) \quad st \triangleleft x = (s \triangleleft (t \triangleright x))(t \triangleleft x)$$

The actions \triangleright and \triangleleft are determined by the relations

$gx = (g \triangleright x)(g \triangleleft x)$ for all $x \in F, g \in G$. Note that $1 \triangleright x = x$ and $s \triangleleft 1 = s$.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Definition of the Hopf algebra A

Definition of the smashed product

Definition of the Hopf algebra A

Definition of the smashed product

Consider the Hopf algebra $A = k^G \# kF$ which is a smashed product and coproduct using the above two action.

Definition of the Hopf algebra A

Definition of the smashed product

Consider the Hopf algebra $A = k^G \# kF$ which is a smashed product and coproduct using the above two action. The structure of A is given by:

Definition of the Hopf algebra A

Definition of the smashed product

Consider the Hopf algebra $A = k^G \# kF$ which is a smashed product and coproduct using the above two action. The structure of A is given by:

$$(\delta_g X)(\delta_h Y) = \delta_{g \triangleleft X, h} \delta_g XY$$

Definition of the Hopf algebra A

Definition of the smashed product

Consider the Hopf algebra $A = k^G \# kF$ which is a smashed product and coproduct using the above two action. The structure of A is given by:

$$(\delta_g X)(\delta_h Y) = \delta_{g \triangleleft X, h} \delta_g XY$$

$$\Delta(\delta_g X) = \sum_{st=g} \delta_s(t \triangleright X) \otimes \delta_t X$$

Definition of the Hopf algebra A

Definition of the smashed product

Consider the Hopf algebra $A = k^G \# kF$ which is a smashed product and coproduct using the above two action. The structure of A is given by:

$$(\delta_g X)(\delta_h Y) = \delta_{g \triangleleft X, h} \delta_g XY$$

$$\Delta(\delta_g X) = \sum_{st=g} \delta_s(t \triangleright X) \otimes \delta_t X$$

Then A fits into the abelian extension

Definition of the Hopf algebra A

Definition of the smashed product

Consider the Hopf algebra $A = k^G \# kF$ which is a smashed product and coproduct using the above two action. The structure of A is given by:

$$(\delta_g x)(\delta_h y) = \delta_{g \triangleleft x, h} \delta_g xy$$

$$\Delta(\delta_g x) = \sum_{st=g} \delta_s(t \triangleright x) \otimes \delta_t x$$

Then A fits into the abelian extension

$$k \longrightarrow k^G \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} kF \longrightarrow k$$

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

A counterexample

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

Let $g \in G$ and Z be the stabilizer of g under \triangleleft . Using the above notations it follows that $S = A(Z) = k^G \# kZ$.

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

Let $g \in G$ and Z be the stabilizer of g under \triangleleft . Using the above notations it follows that $S = A(Z) = k^G \# kZ$.

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

Let $g \in G$ and Z be the stabilizer of g under \triangleleft . Using the above notations it follows that $S = A(Z) = k^G \# kZ$.

Remark that the above comultiplication formula implies S is a Hopf subalgebra if and only if $G \triangleright Z \subset Z$.

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

Let $g \in G$ and Z be the stabilizer of g under \triangleleft . Using the above notations it follows that $S = A(Z) = k^G \# kZ$.

Remark that the above comultiplication formula implies S is a Hopf subalgebra if and only if $G \triangleright Z \subset Z$.

Constructing the counterexample

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

Let $g \in G$ and Z be the stabilizer of g under \triangleleft . Using the above notations it follows that $S = A(Z) = k^G \# kZ$.

Remark that the above comultiplication formula implies S is a Hopf subalgebra if and only if $G \triangleright Z \subset Z$.

Constructing the counterexample

Consider the exact factorization $S_4 = C_4 S_3$ where C_4 is generated by the four cycle $g = (1234)$ and S_3 is given by the permutations that leave 4 fixed.

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

Let $g \in G$ and Z be the stabilizer of g under \triangleleft . Using the above notations it follows that $S = A(Z) = k^G \# kZ$.

Remark that the above comultiplication formula implies S is a Hopf subalgebra if and only if $G \triangleright Z \subset Z$.

Constructing the counterexample

Consider the exact factorization $S_4 = C_4 S_3$ where C_4 is generated by the four cycle $g = (1234)$ and S_3 is given by the permutations that leave 4 fixed. If $t = (12)$ and $s = (123)$ then the actions \triangleleft and \triangleright are given in Tables 1 and 2.

A counterexample

As above F acts on $\text{Irr}(k^G) = G$. It is easy to see that this action is exactly \triangleleft .

Let $g \in G$ and Z be the stabilizer of g under \triangleleft . Using the above notations it follows that $S = A(Z) = k^G \# kZ$.

Remark that the above comultiplication formula implies S is a Hopf subalgebra if and only if $G \triangleright Z \subset Z$.

Constructing the counterexample

Consider the exact factorization $S_4 = C_4 S_3$ where C_4 is generated by the four cycle $g = (1234)$ and S_3 is given by the permutations that leave 4 fixed. If $t = (12)$ and $s = (123)$ then the actions \triangleleft and \triangleright are given in Tables 1 and 2.

$\mathbb{C}_4 \triangleleft \mathbb{S}_3$	g	g^2	g^3
t	g	g^3	g^2
s	g^2	g^3	g
s^2	g^3	g	g^2
st	g^3	g^2	g
ts	g^2	g	g^3

Table: The right action of \mathbb{S}_3 on \mathbb{C}_4

$\mathbb{C}_4 \triangleleft \mathbb{S}_3$	g	g^2	g^3
t	g	g^3	g^2
s	g^2	g^3	g
s^2	g^3	g	g^2
st	g^3	g^2	g
ts	g^2	g	g^3

Table: The right action of \mathbb{S}_3 on \mathbb{C}_4

$\mathbb{C}_4 \triangleright \mathbb{S}_3$	t	s	s^2	st	ts
g	ts	t	s	st	s^2
g^2	s^2	ts	t	st	s
g^3	s	s^2	ts	st	t

Table: The left action of \mathbb{C}_4 on \mathbb{S}_3

$\mathbb{C}_4 \triangleleft \mathbb{S}_3$	g	g^2	g^3
t	g	g^3	g^2
s	g^2	g^3	g
s^2	g^3	g	g^2
st	g^3	g^2	g
ts	g^2	g	g^3

Table: The right action of \mathbb{S}_3 on \mathbb{C}_4

$\mathbb{C}_4 \triangleright \mathbb{S}_3$	t	s	s^2	st	ts
g	ts	t	s	st	s^2
g^2	s^2	ts	t	st	s
g^3	s	s^2	ts	st	t

Table: The left action of \mathbb{C}_4 on \mathbb{S}_3

The counterexample

The stabilizer of the element g is the subgroup $Z = \{1, t\}$

The counterexample

The stabilizer of the element g is the subgroup $Z = \{1, t\}$ which is not invariant by the action of \mathbb{C}_4 .

The counterexample

The stabilizer of the element g is the subgroup $Z = \{1, t\}$ which is not invariant by the action of \mathbb{C}_4 . Thus the Clifford correspondence does not hold for g .

The counterexample

The stabilizer of the element g is the subgroup $Z = \{1, t\}$ which is not invariant by the action of \mathbb{C}_4 . Thus the Clifford correspondence does not hold for g .

References I



C. Clifford.

Handbook of Everything.

Annals of Math., 38: 533-550, 1937.



H. J. Schneider.,

Representation theory of Hopf Galois extensions .

Israel J. Math. 1990.

@



E. C. Dade,

Group-graded rings and modules.

Math. Z. 1980.

References II



S. Witherspoon.

Clifford correspondence for algebras.

Journal of Algebra, 218(1):608–620, 1999.



R. J. Blattner.

Induced and produced representation of Lie algebras.

Trans. A. M. S. (141):457-474, 1969.

@



S. Witherspoon.

Clifford correspondence for finite-dimensional Hopf algebras

J. Algebra, 256(2):518–530, 2002.

References III



Y. Zhu.

Hopf algebras of prime dimension .
Int. Math. Res. Not.. 1, :53-59, 1994.



M. Rieffel.

Normal subrings and induced representations.
Journal of Algebra, 59(1):364–386, 1979.



S. Burciu, L. Kadison, B. Kuelshammer.

On subgroup depth.

Internat. Electron Journal of Algebra, 9(11):133–166, 2011.

References IV



S. Burciu.

Coset decomposition for semisimple Hopf subalgebras.

Commun. Algebra, 37(10):3573–3585, 2009.

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

T

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Th

Tha

Than

Thank

Thank y

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Thank yo

Motivation of the talk

Rieffel's generalization for semisimple artin algebras

New results obtained: stabilizers as Hopf subalgebras

Applications

A counterexample

Thank you!