On the Generalization of Dedekind Modules

Indah Emilia Wijayanti

Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada Sekip Utara, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

International Congress Rings, modules, and Hopf algebras On the occasion of Blas Torrecillas' 60th birthday Almería, May 13-17, 2019

May 15, 2019



2 Generalized Dedekind Modules





- Indah Emilia Wijayanti (Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia),
- Hidetoshi Marubayashi (Naruto University of Education, Tokushima, Japan),
- Iwan Ernanto (Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia),
- Sutopo (Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia).

- It has been investigating module theory over commutative domains from the view-point of arithmetic ideal theory (Ali (2006), El-Bast and Smith (1988), Naoum and Al-Alwan (1996), Saraç, Smith, Tiras (2007)). They mainly focus on multiplication modules except for Dedekind modules.
- However if M is a projective R-module with the uniform dimension n, where R is a Dedekind domain, then M is neither a multiplication module nor a Dedekind module if $n \ge 2$.
- It turns out that *M* is a generalized Dedekind module.
- We have started studying modules theory over commutative domains, without the condition: *M* is a multiplication module

- *R* is an integrally closed domain with its quotient field *K*.
- *M* is a finitely generated torsion-free *R*-module with its quotient module *KM*.
- *R*[*X*] is a polynomial ring over *R* in an indeterminate *X*
- M[X] is a polynomial R[X]-module.
- K(X) is the quotient field of K[X].

Definition 1

An *R*-submodule *N* of *KM* is called a *fractional R-submodule* in *KM* if there is a $0 \neq r \in R$ such that $rN \subseteq M$ and KN = KM. If $M \supseteq N$, then *N* is a *integral* submodule of *M*.

Lemma 1

Let N be a fractional R-submodule. Then $n = (N : M) = \{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq N\}$ is a non-zero ideal. For any *R*-submodule \mathfrak{a} of *K*, we denote

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{ m \in KM \mid \mathfrak{a}m \subseteq M \}.$$

Definition 2

An *R*-submodule \mathfrak{a} of *K* is called a *fractional M-ideal* if there is a $0 \neq m \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{a}m \subseteq M$ and $K\mathfrak{a}^+ = KM$. If $R \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$, then \mathfrak{a} is just a non-zero ideal.

Lemma 2

- 1. Any fractional R-ideal in K is a fractional M-ideal.
- 2. Let N be an R-submodule of KM. Then KN = KM if and only if N is an essential R-submodule of KM.

For a fractional R-submodule N in KM, we define

- $N^- = \{k \in K \mid kN \subseteq M\}$, a fractional *M*-ideal in *K*.
- $N_v = (N^-)^+$ which is a fractional *R*-submodule in *KM* and $N_v \supseteq N$.

Definition 3

A fractional *R*-submodule *N* in *KM* is called a *v*-submodule in *KM* if $N = N_v$.

Lemma 3

Let N be a fractional R-submodule in KM. Then

- 1. $M = M_v$.
- 2. $(kN)_v = kN_v$ for any $k \in K$.
- 3. $N^- = (N_v)^-$.

We recall that M is called a *Dedekind modules* if each submodule N of M is invertible, that is, $N^-N = M$.

Definition 4

A module M is called a *generalized Dedekind module* (a G-Dedekind module for short) if

- a each v-submodule of M is invertible and
- b M satisfies the ascending chain condition on v-submodules of M.

Lemma 4

Let R be an integrally closed domain. Let a be an invertible fractional ideal in K and let N be a fractional R-submodule of KM. Then $(\alpha N)_v = \alpha N_v$.

Theorem 1

Suppose R is a Dedekind domain and M is a finitely generated torsion-free R-module. Then

- Each v-submodule N of M is the form: N = nM for some ideal n of R and n = (N : M).
- 2. *M* is a G-Dedekind module.

Sketch of Proof :

First we show that for any P a maximal v-submodule of M (submodules maximal amongst the v-submodules of M), P is a prime submodule of M such that $\mathfrak{p} = (P : M) \neq (0)$ is a prime ideal of R.

Then we show that for any P a prime v-submodule of M, $P = \mathfrak{p}M$, where $\mathfrak{p} = (P : M)$.

Conversely, let $P = \mathfrak{p}M$, where \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal of R. Then we show that P is a prime *v*-submodule of M.

Finally we prove that each *v*-submodule *N* of *M* is of the form N = nM for some ideal n of *R*.

Recall Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.12 of paper of Alkan, Saraç, Tiras (2005) and Theorem 3.1 of paper of El-Bast and Smith (1988). We prove it from generalized Dedekind modules point of view.

Corollary 1

Let *R* be an integrally closed domain with its quotient field *K* and *M* a finitely generated torsion-free *R*-module. If *M* is a Dedekind module, then $u - \dim M = 1$.

Proposition 1

Let M be a finitely generated torsion-free R-module and R be a Noetherian integrally closed domain. Then M is a Dedekind module if and only if M is a multiplication module with u-dim M = 1 and R is a Dedekind domain.

Proposition 2

Let M be a finitely generated torsion-free R-module, where R is an integrally closed domain. Then M is a Noetherian valuation module if and only if M is a multiplication module with u-dim M = 1 and and R is a Noetherian valuation domain.

Lemma 5

Let \mathfrak{n} be a fractional *R*-submodule with $M \supseteq \mathfrak{n}$ and $N = \mathfrak{n}[X]$. Then

- 1. $N^- = \mathfrak{n}^-[X]$.
- 2. $N_v = \mathfrak{n}_v[X]$.

Lemma 6

Let P be a prime R[X]- submodule of M[X] with $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap M \neq (0)$. Then

- 1. \mathfrak{p} is a prime submodule of M.
- 2. $P_1 = \mathfrak{p}[X]$ is a prime submodule of M[X].

Lemma 7

Let P be a prime v-submodule of M[X] such that $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap M \neq (0)$. Then

- p is a prime v-submodule of M and p = p₀M, where p₀ is a maximal ideal of R with p₀ = (p : M).
- (2) $P = \mathfrak{p}[X] = \mathfrak{p}_0[X]M[X]$, and $\mathfrak{p}_0[X] = (P : M[X])$ is a minimal prime ideal of R[X].

Proposition 3

Let N be a v-submodule of M[X] with $n = N \cap M \neq (0)$. Then

- (1) \mathfrak{n} is a *v*-submodule of *M* and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_0 M$ for some ideal \mathfrak{n}_0 of *R*.
- (2) $N = n_0[X]M[X]$ and $n_0[X] = (N : M[X])$.

Theorem 2

Let R be a Dedekind domain and M be a finitely generated torsion-free R-module. Then

(1) The R[X]-module M[X] is a generalized Dedekind module.

(2) Any *v*-submodule *N* of M[X] is of the form: N = nM[X], where n = (N : M[X]).

Sketch of Proof (1)

Let N be a v-submodule of M[X].

If $\mathfrak{n} = N \cap M \neq (0)$, then $N = \mathfrak{n}_0[X]M[X]$. Hence N is an invertible submodule of M[X] since $\mathfrak{n}_0[X]$ is an invertible ideal of R[X]. In case $N \cap M = (0)$, if N is a maximal v-submodule of M[X], then $N = \mathfrak{p}M[X]$ for some minimal prime ideal of R[X], which is invertible. Thus N is an invertible submodule of M[X]. Suppose there is a *v*-submodule N of M[X] with $N \cap M = (0)$ and N is not invertible. We may assume that N is maximal for this property. Then there is a maximal v-submodule $P = \mathfrak{p}M[X]$ with $P \supset N$, where \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime ideal of R[X] and $M[X] \supset \mathfrak{p}^{-1}N \supset N$. If $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N = N$, then $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq R[X]$ by the determinant argument, a contradiction. If $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N \cap M \neq (0)$, then $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N = \mathfrak{m}_0[X]M[X]$ for some invertible ideal $\mathfrak{m}_0[X]$ of R[X] and so $N = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{m}_0[X]M[X]$, an invertible submodule of

M[X], a contradiction.

If $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N \cap M = (0)$, then by the choice of N, $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N$ is invertible and so $M[X] = (\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N)^-\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N = \mathfrak{p}N^-\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N = N^-N$, a contradiction.

We assume that there is a *v*-submodule *N* of M[X] such that $N \neq \mathfrak{n}M[X]$, where $\mathfrak{n} = (N : M[X])$. We may assume that *N* is maximal for this property. Then as in (1), $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N = \mathfrak{m}M[X]$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N : M[X])$, an invertible ideal of R[X]. Thus $N = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{m}M[X]$, a contradiction. Hence $N = \mathfrak{n}M[X]$ for all *v*-submodule *N* of R[X], where $\mathfrak{n} = (N : M[X])$.

We denote by F(M) the set of all fractional *R*-submodules in *KM*. Recall the definition of *-operation as follow:

Definition 5

A mapping $N \longrightarrow N^*$ of F(M) into F(M) is called a *-operation on M if the following conditions hold for each $k \in K$ and all $N, N_1 \in F(M)$:

(i)
$$(kN)^* = kN^*$$
.
(ii) $N \subseteq N^*$ and if $N \subseteq N_1$, then $N^* \subseteq N_1^*$.
(iii) $(N^*)^* = N^*$.

Lemma 8

The mapping $v: F(M) \longrightarrow F(M)$ given by $N \longrightarrow N_v$ is a *-operation on M.

Lemma 9

- (1) Let N be a fractional R-submodule in KM. Then $N_v = \bigcap_{N \subseteq kM} kM$, where $k \in K$.
- (2) Let \mathfrak{a} be a fractional *R* ideal. Then $(\mathfrak{a}M)_{\nu} = (\mathfrak{a}_{\nu}M)_{\nu}$.
- (3) Let N be a fractional R-submodule in KM such that $M \supseteq N$ and $N = N_v$ Then $\mathfrak{n} = (N : M) = \{r \in R \mid rm \subseteq N\}$ is a v-ideal of R.

We denote by

- F(R) the set of all fractional v-submodules in KM,
- $F_v(M)$ the Abelian group of fractional ideals in K.

Proposition 4

The mapping : $F(R) \longrightarrow F_{\nu}(M)$ given by $\mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}M$ is a bijection, where $\mathfrak{n} \in F(R)$.

Let

- $F_v(M[X]) = \{N \mid N \text{ are fractional } v \text{-submodules in } K(X)M[X]\},\$
- $F_v(R[X]) = \{n \mid n \text{ are fractional } v \text{-ideals in } K(X)\}.$

Proposition 5

Let R be a commutative Dedekind domain and M be a finitely generated torsion-free R-module. Then the mapping :

$$F_{\nu}(R[X]) \longrightarrow F_{\nu}(M[X])$$

given by $\mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}M[X]$ is a bijection, where $\mathfrak{n} \in F_{\nu}(R[X])$.

- Since R[X] is a generalized Dedekind domain, $F_v(R[X])$ is an Abelian group under the usual ideal product.
- We define a product" \circ " in $F_{\nu}(M[X])$ as follows:

 $N \circ N_1 = \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}_1 M[X]$

for $N = \mathfrak{n}M[X]$ and $N_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1M[X]$, where $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}_1 \in F_v(R[X])$.

Corollary 2

 $F_{\nu}(M[X])$ is isomorphic to $F_{\nu}(R[X])$ as Abelian groups.

- Akalan, E. (2008). On generalized Dedekind prime rings. J. of Algebra 320: 2907-2916.
- Ali, M. M. (2006). Invertibility of multiplication modules. New Zealand J. of mathematics 35: 17-29.
- Ali, M. M. (2008). Some remarks on generalized GCD domains. Comm. in Algebra. 36: 142-164.
- Ali, M. M., Smith, D. J. Some remarks on multiplication and projective modules. Comm. in Algebra 32: 3897-3909.
- Alkan, M., Saraç, B., Tiras, Y. (2005). Dedekind modules. Comm. in Algebra 33: 1617-1626.
 - EL-Bast, Z. A., Smith, P. F. (1988). Multiplication modules. Comm. in Algebra 16: 755-779.

Reference II

- Gilmer, R. (1972). Multiplicative Ideal Theory. Marcel Dekker, INC. New York, Vol. 12.
- Kim, H., Kim, M. O. (2013). krull modules. Algebra Colloquium.
- Marubayashi, H., Muchtadi-Alamsyah, I., Ueda, A. (2013). Skew polynomial rings which are generalized Asano prime rings. J. of Algebra and Its Applications 12: 15-23.
- McConnell, J. C., Roboson, J. C. (1987). Noncommutative Noetherian Rings. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Naoum, A. G., Al-Alwan, F. H. (1996). Dedekind modules. Comm. in Algebra 24: 3397-412.
- Saraç, B., Smith, P. F., Tiras, Y. (2007). On Dedekind modules. Comm. in Algebra 35: 1533-1538.

- Smith, P. F. (1988). Some remarks on multiplication modules. Arch. Math. 50: 223-235.
- Zafrullah, D. (1986). On generalized Dedekind domains. Mathematika 33: 285-295.

THANK YOU